

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Cutting
<b>Given Name</b>	Rachael
<b>Person ID</b>	1285878
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Cutting
<b>Given Name</b>	Rachael
<b>Person ID</b>	1285878
<b>Title</b>	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The local area cannot take any more dwellings until a mass of infrastructure is put into place. The local roads at moorside, worsley road are at capacity, pollution records are horrific for all the main accesses to the area. Including the new alterations to Worsley roundabout which have done nothing but exasperated the traffic problems. The local gps and dentists aren't taking on new patients. The locals schools Broadoak, st marks, st Charles and moorside are all over subscribed. As is coop academy Walkden and moorside this year for the 21/22 year intake.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>New junction on the m60, doctors, school, traffic levels at peak times must be looking at for the local area. We're not daft. 400 homes means a min of 800 cars.</p> <p>There are no bus routes around there so there is not an option for public transport without getting to Worsley road or the east Lancs. access to that area</p> <p>Is already bad with traffic calming measures in place on hazelhurst road. The green space there is desperately needed to support with current pollution levels. Local</p> <p>Brooks and streams in the area already flood with surface water, more green space taken away will worsen this. I don't see how this can go ahead when the Broadoak development was knocked back not so long ago as it was proven that the area cannot take any more dwellings .</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Cutting
<b>Given Name</b>	Rachael
<b>Person ID</b>	1285878
<b>Title</b>	Salford - Green Belt Additions

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Salford - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Salford GBA27 West Salford Greenway
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	This area should be larger!